



RFA No.1358 of 2021 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120-41

**CM No.2926-CI of 2021 in/and
RFA No.1358 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.09.2025**

M/S KATARIA CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD.	Appellant
Vs	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
Ms. Era Kataria, Advocate (through V.C.) and
Mr. S.P. Singh Shisodia, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, D.A.G., Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

CM No.2926-CI of 2021

By way of present application, prayer has been made for condoning the delay of 11 days in filing the present appeal.

Learned State counsel has failed to file reply to the application despite been afforded sufficient opportunity.

Upon hearing learned counsel for the parties and for the reasons mentioned the application, the same is allowed and delay of 11 days in filing the present appeal is hereby condoned.

RFA No.1358 of 2021 (O&M)

[1]. By way of present appeal, challenge has been laid to the Award dated 27.11.2019 passed by the learned Addl. District Judge, Faridabad-cum-Reference Court whereby Reference Petition under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short 'the 1894 Act') preferred at the instance of appellant/landowner was partly allowed while awarding compensation @ Rs.1760/- per square yard *qua* the acquired land along with other statutory benefits/interest in its favour.



RFA No.1358 of 2021 (O&M)

[2]. Learned counsel for the parties are ad *idem* that the matter with respect to the determination of market value stands finally adjudicated upon by the Hon’ble Apex Court vide its decision dated 14.07.2021 passed in Civil Appeal No.2903 of 2021 titled as “Banwari Lal and another vs. State of Haryana and ors.”

reported as “2021(3) RCR (Civil) 843” whereby the similarly situated landowners pertaining to the same acquisition proceedings related to the land situated in revenue estate of village Neemka, Tehsil and District Faridabad, have been held entitled for the enhanced amount of compensation @ Rs.2186/- per square yard, respectively along with solatium and other statutory benefits.

[3]. In view of the above as well as on account of agreed stand taken by both the sides on facts and applying the principle of parity, besides grant of just and fair compensation, the present appeal is partly allowed in terms of the aforementioned decision i.e. Banwari Lal and another’s case (supra) and the appellant is held entitled for similar amount of compensation as has been awarded to other similarly situated landowners, along with all statutory benefits and interest available under the amended provisions of Land Acquisition Act, 1894 as applicable to the present acquisition.

[4]. Pending application(s), if any shall also stand disposed of.

September 17, 2025

Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No