



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CRWP No.2214 of 2025

Date of decision: 06.03.2025

PREM NATH AND OTHERS

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr.Angraze Singh Dhindsa, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5 who are raiding the house of the petitioners and harassing them and to take action on their representation dated 22.02.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 22.02.2025 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, District Patiala (Annexure P-2) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of

**CRWP No.2214 of 2025**

-2-

Police, Patiala, District Patiala to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 22.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioners within a period of one month, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

06.03.2025**Jyoti-IV**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No