



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

324

CRM-M-11715-2025

Date of decision: 10.07.2025

Ram Lal Sharma and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.0349 dated 27.10.2024 under Sections 110, 115, 3(5), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 (Section 109(1) of BNS, 2023 added and Section 110 of BNS, 2023 deleted lateron), registered at Police Station Sonipat Sadar, District Sonipat, and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise 25.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 30.04.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 28.05.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sonipat, in pursuance of the directions of this



CRM-M-11715-2025

-2-

Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainants have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed copies of statements of the parties alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 and 3 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sonipat and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

10.07.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No