



**IOIN-1-CWP-16384-2002 in/and
CWP-16384-2002**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(201)

**IOIN-1-CWP-16384-2002 in/and
CWP-16384-2002
Date of Decision : 27.05.2025**

Hardev Singh Parmar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Vivek Thakur, Advocate and
Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. At the oral request of the learned counsel for the petitioner, main case is taken on board today itself for adjudication.
2. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a *mandamus*, upon the respondents No.1 to 6, not only to produce the record of the instant case, but also the outcome of the complaints, as filed by the petitioner, wherein, he has alleged some allegations against the private respondents, and further to take the departmental proceedings to its logical end.
3. In response to the notice issued by this Court, written statement on behalf of the respondents was filed on dated 25.05.2003. As per the written statement (*supra*), the enquiry on the complaint has already been concluded, on dated 29.08.2002, and none of the allegations, as levelled by the petitioner



**IOIN-1-CWP-16384-2002 in/and
CWP-16384-2002**

was found to be correct.

4. Since the enquiry has already been concluded, therefore, no further direction is required to be passed in the instant writ petition, and the same is hereby, **closed**.
5. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.
6. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

May 27, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No