



104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8859-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.01.2025

Veena Shashi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner reportedly expired, and vide order dated 14.10.2024, fresh notice was issued to the petitioner. Despite service having been effected, there is no appearance on his behalf. It appears the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the matter, or it has been rendered infructuous due to afflux of time.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

January 20, 2025

ps

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No