


2025:PHHC:029216



CRM-M-11599-2025

-1-

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11599-2025

Date of Decision: 01.03.2025

Mangat Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Singh Siwach, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the order dated 27.09.2024 (Annexure P-6), vide which bail of the petitioner has been cancelled and his bail/surety bonds have been forfeited to the State in a case FIR No.230, dated 31.08.2019 under Sections 21(b), 27-A of NDPS, Act 1985, registered at Police Station Sadar Ratia, District Fatehabad. Further prayer has been made to restore the surety bonds of the petitioner.

2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the petitioner was granted regular bail by learned trial Court vide order dated 17.09.2019 and thereafter, he was regularly appearing before the Court. He submits that due to miscommunication with the clerk of his counsel, the petitioner could not appear on 27.09.2024 and his bail was cancelled and his bail/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and he was ordered to be summoned through warrants of arrest. He submits that absence of the petitioner was totally unintentional and due to the circumstances beyond his control. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to appear before the trial Court and abide by all the terms and



conditions, if any imposed by this Court.


3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Vijesh Sharma, Addl. AG, Haryana notice on behalf of the State and has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He has submitted that learned trial Court has rightly cancelled the bail of the petitioner, as he intentionally did not appear before it, on the date fixed.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is evident that due to non-appearance of the petitioner on 27.09.2024, his bail was cancelled and his bail bonds/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and he was ordered to be summoned through warrants of arrest. The reason for non-appearance before the Court on the date fixed, as given by the petitioner is miscommunication with the clerk of his counsel. The Court without going into the authenticity of the ground taken for the absence of the petitioner, deems it appropriate to direct the petitioner to appear before the Court concerned to face the trial in the present case. In these circumstances, when the petitioner is ready to join the trial and face the proceedings, the order dated 27.09.2024 is set aside subject to payment of Rs.5,000/- as costs to be paid to the **Gurudwara Sri Guru Singh Sabha, (registered) Sector -7C, Chandigarh** by the petitioner within period of seven days from today.

6. The petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court within a period of ten days from today and file an appropriate application alongwith receipt of abovesaid costs and the trial Court would grant him bail till the disposal of the case on his furnishing fresh bail/surety bonds to its satisfaction. The petitioner will have protection from arrest for a period

2025:PHHC:029216



CRM-M-11599-2025

-3-

of 10 days from today. The trial Court is free to impose any condition on the petitioner while admitting him to bail.

7. Needless to say that in case the petitioner fails to comply with the abovesaid direction, this order would be of no avail to him and the order dated 27.09.2024 will come in force and the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed.

8. Petition stands disposed of in abovesaid terms.

01.03.2025
sharmila

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No