



213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11458-2025

Date of decision: 28.03.2025

Harvinder Singh @ Baba Hari Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR****Present:** Mr. Vikrant K Vij, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.285 dated 12.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 115(2)/118(1)/333(2)/351(2)/191(3)/190 of BNS (earlier Sections 323/324/452/506/148/149 of IPC) registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural.

On 28.02.2025, the following order was passed:-

*'Instant petition is preferred under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.285 dated 12.11.2024 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 333(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS'), registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is alleged to have caused a simple injury with blunt weapon. In fact, the complainant had a fight with cousins of the petitioner and all the male members of his family have been deliberately entangled to wreak vengeance. The injury invoking the provisions of Section 118(1) of BNS is specifically attributed to co-accused. Further, the maximum sentence provided for the offences, under which the FIR (supra) is registered, is punishable upto 07 years and no notice under Section 35(3) of BNSS [erstwhile Section 41-A of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.')] has been served upon the petitioner. The petitioner is having clean antecedents and he is not involved in any other case.*

*Notice of motion for 28.03.2025.*

*Keeping in view the ratio of law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022) 10 SCC 51; Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and others***



CRM-M-11458-2025

-2-

*2010 SCC OnLine SC 137; Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia etc. Vs. State of Punjab (1980) 2 SCC 565, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273 and Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State of NCT Delhi 2020 (1) RCR (Criminal) 833, the petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner will be admitted to interim anticipatory bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.).*

*If the Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, he would appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioner in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.*

*Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.'*

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 28.02.2025 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

The petition stands disposed of.

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)**  
**JUDGE**

**28.03.2025**

*Neha*

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |