

**CRWP-2072-2025****-1-****107****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-2072-2025****Date of Decision: March 03, 2025**

Parveen Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJPresent: Ms.Gaganpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 7. It is further prayed that respondent No.2 may be directed to decide the representation, dated 24.02.2025, Annexure P-3, filed by petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and are living together in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 7. It is further submitted that petitioner No.1 is unmarried whereas petitioner No.2 is though married with respondent No.7, however, respondent No7 is not residing with him for the last three years. It is further submitted that no child was born out of the said wedlock. The petitioners have submitted a

**CRWP-2072-2025****-2-**

representation, dated 24.02.2025 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr.J.S.Arora, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in-relationship.

March 03, 2025
meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |