



215                    **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-11729-2025**

**Date of Decision: 07.03.2025**

Naved alias Nabbi

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**Coram :    Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present :    Mr. Jagjot Singh, Advocate and  
                 Ms. Ankita Chaudhary, Advocate  
                 for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1.            The petitioner has filed the second petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.73 dated 15.04.2024 registered under Section 22-C of NDPS Act (Section 29 NDPS Act added later on), at Police Station Dhauj, Faridabad.

2.            As per case of the prosecution, on receipt of a secret information, Aleem, co-accused was arrested by the police, while he was having 11 injections of Buprenorphine Leegesic (2 ml each). During the course of investigation, Aleem is stated to have made a disclosure statement upon which petitioner was arrayed as an accused in the present case.

3.            Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was nominated as an accused in the present case on the basis of the disclosure statement suffered by Aleem, co-accused. He further refers to the order dated



10.02.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court, whereby Aleem has already been granted the concession of bail by this Court. The petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last about 10 months and the challan has already been presented against him.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner. He further submits that one more FIR under the provisions of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act has been registered against the petitioner.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In the present case, the petitioner has been arrayed as an accused on the basis of the disclosure statement made by Aleem, who has already been ordered to be released on bail by this Court, vide order dated 10.02.2025 (Annexure P-1). The petitioner is in custody for the last 10 months and the challan has already been presented against him. Thus, the conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)  
JUDGE

07.03.2025  
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No