



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215-2

CRM-M No.11459 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision :08.12.2025

Jagdeep Singh @ Jaggu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr.Abheysher Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Bansal, Sr. DAG Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral):

1. This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, in a case arising out of FIR No.2 dated 14.01.2025 under Sections 25 of Arms Act read with Section 61(2) of BNS [Section 109 of BNS added later on], Police Station State Special Operations Cell, District Amritsar.
2. Vide order dated 28.02.2025, the petitioner was admitted to interim anticipatory bail, subject to the condition of furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer.
3. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance of above mentioned order, the petitioner has already joined investigation and that the order dated 28.02.2025, whereby the petitioner was afforded the benefit of interim anticipatory bail, be made absolute.




4. Status report has already been filed by the learned State counsel. This fact has been verified by the learned State counsel that the petitioner has already joined the investigation. However, he has opposed the present petition for anticipatory bail on the ground that the petitioner does not have the clean antecedents, and that electronic surveillance record shows the presence of petitioner on the spot at the time of occurrence.

5. Heard. The record has been perused carefully.

6. A perusal of the record shows that following are the relevant factors which are required to be taken into consideration for the purpose of decision of present petition:-

- i) that the offence is triable by the Court of Judicial Magistrate;
- ii) that nothing is left to be recovered from the possession of petitioner;
- iii) that trial of the case is not likely to be concluded in near future;
- iv) that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not likely to produce a fruitful result;
- v) that there is nothing on record to show that if released on bail, the petitioner is likely to tamper with the evidence, or influence the witnesses;
- vi) that there is nothing on record to show that if petitioner is released on bail, he will not participate/co-operate in the trial.

7. In view of above, it is hereby held that the present petition



deserves to be allowed and the order dated 28.02.2025, whereby the benefit of interim bail was accorded to the petitioner, deserves to be made absolute.

8. Hence the present petition stands allowed and the order dated 28.02.2025 is hereby made absolute.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

08.12.2025
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No