



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

268

CRM-M-12879-2024
Decided on : 10.03.2025

Kashmir

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Parminder Walia, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, for quashing of FIR No. 077, dated 22.02.2024, under Section 379-A IPC , registered at P.S. Pinjore, District Panchkula.


2. On 27.01.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Court is informed by learned State counsel that there are total 3 accused, but present petition has been filed by only one of them. Faced with the situation, counsel for the petitioner seeks some time to file a separate petition on behalf of all the remaining accused.

Adjourned to 10.03.2025. ”

3. Though by filing of the petition by one of the accused, parties got recorded their statements and the report dated 22.03.2024 in consequence thereof, as a result of direction passed by this Court is also received.

4. In view of the observations made by the Hon’ble Division Bench of this Court in **Rakesh Das v. State of Haryana and another [CRM-M-48043-2023 (O&M)] (Decided on : 12.11.2024) : Law Finder Doc Id # 2663704**, since partial quashing petitions are not maintainable for quashing of the proceedings, counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of present petition, at this stage, with liberty to file a fresh and comprehensive one by impleading all the required parties i.e all the accused and all the victims



including the complainant.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought and recorded here-above.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 10, 2025
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No