



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

**CM-3353-CWP-2025 in/and
RA-CW-112 of 2025 in
CWP-21941-2015
Date of decision : 10.03.2025**

RANVIR KAUR

..... Petitioner

VERSUS**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**CM-3353-CWP-2025**

In the present application, the petitioner's prayer is for condoning the delay of 37 days in filing the review application.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 37 days in filing the review application application is condoned.

Application is disposed of accordingly.

RA-CW-112 of 2025

1. In the present review application, grievance raised by the petitioner is that once the respondents vide advertisement dated 23.09.2009 (Annexure P-1) had advertised 78 posts for vocational Master (Secretarial or Commercial Practice) out of which two posts were to be filled in the General Category (Sports), and only one of these two posts has been filled up, the petitioner being second, should have been accommodated whereas, the petitioner has not been given the said benefit.



**CM-3353-CWP-2025 in/and
RA-CW-112 of 2025 in
CWP-21941-2015**

:2:

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once, the respondents had advertised the said two posts out of the 78 posts to be filled from the sports category, the petitioner being second in merit list in the sports category should have been granted the benefit of appointment.

3. It may be noticed that the respondents are on record stating that they decided to fill only one post from the General category which post was given to respondent No.4 being more meritorious and the second post was to be filled up from the reserved category which has already been filled up. The said averment has not been rebutted by the petitioner. That being so, no ground is made out to review the order sought to be reviewed.

4. Further, it may be noticed that the petitioner filed the writ petition in the year 2015 raising a claim qua the advertisement regarding the selection made in the year 2009. That being so, as of now, grant of appointment to the petitioner on the post in question by accepting her assertion that two posts were to be filled up so as to ignore the specific stand of the respondent that only one post were to be filled up in the general category in which the petitioner belong and the same has already been filled up by respondent No.4, no ground is made out for any inference by this Court and present review application is dismissed.

5. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

10.03.2025

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes
No