



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(231)

CWP No. 9613 of 2000 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 05.03.2025

Narinderjit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the claim of the petitioner is for the grant of increment after 8, 18 years of service by counting the work-charge service rendered.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as per the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 13423 of 1996 titled as ***State of Haryana Vs. Haryana Veterinary and A.H.T.S. Association***, decided on 19.09.2000, the work-charge service rendered by an employee cannot be taken into account for the grant of benefit of increment.

3. Probably, keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India which denies the claim of the petitioners, the petitioners might not be interested in pursuing the present petition.

4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.



5. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 05, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No