



103

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-593-2001 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.11.2025

Shanti and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. B.R. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents from retrenching the services of the petitioners, in violation of provisions of Sections 25-F, 25-FFF & 25-G of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.
2. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3, at the very outset, submits that the grievance raised by the petitioners in the present petition has

substantially been redressed and as such, the same be rendered as infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition be disposed of in the wake of statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3. However, liberty may be granted to the petitioners to revive the present petition, in case anything survives in the same.

4. In view of the above, instant petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous with liberty, as prayed for.

5. The pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also be disposed of.

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

12.11.2025
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No