



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-7541-2021(O&M)
Date of decision: 11.02.2025**

M/s Hi-Tech Construction

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate,
Mr. Lakshay Jindal and Mr. Bhupinder Beniwal, Advocates,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Suman Jain, Sr. Standing Counsel;
Ms. Pridhi Sandhu, Sr. Standing Counsel;
Mr. Mukesh Mittal, Advocate, for respondents-CBIC.

Mrs. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Urvashi Dhugga, Sr. Standing Counsel,
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Sr. Standing Counsel,
for respondent No.6.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

A mandamus is prayed for, commanding respondents No.1 to 5 to adjust Rs.5,58,402.43 (IGST), which were inadvertently deposited by respondent No.6 with the Chandigarh Department, though it ought to have been remitted to Panchkula, Haryana (respondent No.3). Or, in the alternative, respondents No.4 & 5 be directed to transfer the said amount to respondents No.2 & 3, as there would be no loss to respondents No.1 to 5 in any manner, since the petitioner is the only one suffering without any fault.

It is urged that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had served the respondent-authorities with the representation dated January 25, 2021 (P-6), and even the legal notice dated February 18, 2021 (P-7). But to no avail.



Having argued the matter at length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition to enable the petitioner to avail such other remedies as shall be admissible in law, as also to pursue the representation/legal notice, referred to above, with the respondent-authorities.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty prayed for.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Sudeepti Sharma)
Judge

11.02.2025
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO