



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

231

CRM-M-11708-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 19.03.2025

AKSHAY SINGH
Versus
STATE OF HARYANA
...Petitioner(s)
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

- 1. Status report dated 17.03.2025 filed in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad-II, District Fatehabad, is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.
- 2. Arguments heard.
- 3. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
23	29.01.2025	115, 126, 351(3) Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023; (117(2) BNS added later on)	Bhattu Kalan, District Fatehabad, Haryana

- 4. It is *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case on



account of political rivalry. He contends that petitioner is not involved in the alleged occurrence nor has he caused any injury as has been alleged by the prosecution. He further contends that petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents and is ready to join investigation. Thus, prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel while referring to the status report filed by the State has opposed the petition on the ground that the petitioner happens to be the neighbour of the complainant-victim and there are specific allegations against the petitioner of having caused injury on the head of the complainant with an iron pipe, which was found grievous in nature. He submits that the recovery of the weapon is yet to be effected from the petitioner for which his custodial interrogation is required. Thus, prays for dismissal of the bail petition.

6. After considering the rival contentions and perusing the record, it transpires that the case of prosecution in brief is that on 27.01.2025 at about 08:00 PM, when the complainant-Kalu was returning to his house, then the petitioner stopped his way and started abusing and all of a sudden gave iron pipe blow on his head. On raising the alarm, the petitioner ran away from the spot and the complainant was shifted to the hospital by his wife. A perusal of the record would reveal that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of having given injury with an iron pipe on the head of the complainant, which was found grievous in nature. The petitioner happens to be the neighbour of the complainant and is specifically named in the FIR. The weapon of the offence is yet to be recovered from the petitioner



for which his custodial interrogation is required.

7. Therefore, considering the nature and gravity of offence, it is observed that no case is made out in favour of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail, as a consequence, the petition is hereby dismissed.

8. Any observation made above shall not be construed as opinion of this Court on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

19.03.2025
S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No