



228 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11449-2025

Date of Decision:26.05.2025

Gurkaranveer Singh alias Gurkaranbir
Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.94 dated 24.05.2023 registered under Sections 302, 307, 148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act (Sections 212, 216 of IPC added later on), at Police Station Beas, Amritsar (Rural).

2. The FIR in the present case was registered on the basis the complaint moved by Ajit Singh. As per him, at about 11.30 a.m. on 24.05.2023 he alongwith his son Jarnail Singh were present at the flour Mill of Inder Singh. In the meantime, four unknown persons came out of Dzire car and one person remained seated on the driver seat. Three assailants, who were armed with pistols, started firing on Jarnail Singh. As a result, Jarnail Singh suffered bullet injuries and succumbed to the injuries at the spot itself. Major Singh also

suffered one bullet injury. After committing the crime, all the accused ran away from the spot.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the case of the prosecution, the petitioner and his co-accused, namely Arshdeep Singh had provided shelter to the accused in the present case and no other role has been assigned to him. Even, the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and had not helped the accused in any manner in the commission of crime. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 28.10.2024 and is in custody since then. He next submits that similarly placed co-accused, namely, Rajwinder Singh and Arshdeep Singh have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court.

4. On the other hand, reply has been filed by learned State counsel in Court today and the same is taken on record. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. As per case of the prosecution, the petitioner had only provided shelter to the accused in the present case and no other role has been assigned to him. The petitioner is in custody since 28.10.2024 and the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented against him. Moreover, similarly placed co-accused, namely, Rajwinder Singh and Arshdeep Singh have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

26.05.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No