



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

240

CRM-M-12307 of 2025

Date of decision: 21.07.2025

Sukhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamal Preet Bawa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate, for the complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS in case FIR No.289 dated 15.12.2024, under Sections 109, 115(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS and Sections 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act (Sections 118(2) BNS added later on), registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, Punjab.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that petitioner along with co-accused have caused injuries to the complainant. The petitioner has been attributed *kirpan* blow on the right arm of the complainant which is grievous in nature.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that challan in this case has been filed and charges are yet to be framed. He further submits that petitioner is in custody for the last 7 months and 3 days as under trial. Trial is likely to take time for its conclusion and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, petitioner be released on regular bail.



4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Kamal Preet Bawa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 7 months and 03 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and considering the custody period undergone by the petitioner and the fact that *challan* has been presented and charges are yet to be framed; the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of their bail.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

21.07.2025

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No



Whether reportable : Yes / No