IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

236 **LPA-712-2024 (O&M)**
Decided on : 04.12.2025

HARBHAGWAN SINGH AND ANOTHER ...Appellants

Versus

SUPERINTENDING CANAL OFFICER AND OTHERS

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

PRESENT: Mr. Munish Raj Chaudhary, Advocate for the Appellants.

Mr. Yatin Bunger, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Khungar, Advocate and
Mr. Saksham Khungar, Advocate for respondents No. 3 to 5.

****

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellants submits that the statement made by the Patwari namely, Mr. Ranjeet Singh, which has been relied upon by the learned Single Judge, while passing the impugned order dated 30.01.2024 is factually incorrect, hence, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file review before the learned Single Judge.

2. Ordered accordingly.

3. Pending civil miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

04.12.2025
Riya
Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/~~No~~
Whether Reportable: ~~Yes~~/No

RIYA
2025.12.05 12:01
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document