



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(106)

CWP No. 5561 of 2025

Date of Decision : 28.02.2025

Jasmine Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh through its Registrar
General

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Simran Gorla, Advocate with
Mr. Edward Augustine George, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Khehar, Advocate for the respondent.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who is the younger daughter of the deceased employee, applied for the grant of compassionate appointment after the death of her father, namely, Ujjal Singh, who was working as a Senior Assistant in the establishment of this Court. Learned counsel submits that Ujjal Singh unfortunately died on 25.11.2019 leaving behind his elderly parents, wife and two unmarried daughters. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the claim was raised for the grant of compassionate appointment vide letter/application dated 19.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) but the same has only been conveyed vide letter dated 11.11.2024 (Annexure P-10) to be rejected vide order dated 23.07.2024 without giving any reasons as to why the claim regarding compassionate appointment of the petitioner did not merit



acceptance, hence, the aforementioned rejection is without any valid justification and the same is liable to be set-aside.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Vaneet Thakur, Advocate for Mr. B.S. Khehar, Advocate appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-High Court.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-High Court submits that though, the reasons given by the Committee for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment should have been given to her under the Right to Information Act, 2005 but, the same were not supplied. Learned counsel submits that the claim regarding compassionate appointment of the petitioner has been considered by the High Court and rejected by giving due reasons, which reasons will be conveyed to the petitioner for her information and necessary action within a period of 03 weeks from today.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement that the reasons for rejecting the claim exists but the same have not been conveyed and will now be conveyed to the petitioner, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file a fresh one challenging those reasons in case the petitioner is aggrieved in any manner qua the said rejection.

6. Ordered accordingly.

February 28, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No