



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

**CRM-M No.11872 of 2025
Date of Decision: 03.03.2025**

Heena

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H. S. GREWAL

Present Ms. Dipsy Gupta, Advocate (Through VC)
for the petitioner(s).

H. S. GREWAL, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to decide representation dated 26.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) against respondent Nos.5 to 8 for committing offences and playing fraud with the Embassy of India and that of United Kingdom.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is aggrieved by the official respondents for not considering his application against respondent Nos.5 to 8. He further contends that he would be satisfied in case his representation dated 26.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) be decided in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.



5. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to file a fresh representation within a period of 07 days from today. Thereafter, in case, fresh representation is filed, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar is directed to decide the said representation) within a period of one month thereafter, by passing a speaking order.
6. Given above, the petition stands disposed of.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

03.03.2025
Sonia Puri

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No