



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.600 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.02.2025

State of Haryana and others

...Appellants

Versus

Pratima and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present:- Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
for the appellants.

Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate
Mr. Vikrant Koundal, Advocate
Mr. Mohd. Tarif, Advocate
for respondent No.1-Caveator.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Admittedly, the writ petition is pending before learned Single Judge.
2. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the order assailed before this Court is on erroneous facts and the very foundation is, therefore, erroneous and the order, therefore, deserves to be set-aside.
3. We are unable to accept the contention at this stage, when the writ petition is pending. There is no bar for the appellants to move appropriate application seeking a fresh order of vacation of stay bringing out all the correct facts.
4. Allowing him to move appropriate application, the present appeal is dismissed. It is made clear that learned Single Judge would, in no



way, be influenced by any of the observations made above.

5. All the pending misc applications also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

27.02.2025
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No