



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

227

CRM-M-11271-2025
Date of decision: 08.05.2025

AMANJOT SINGH ALIAS AMANPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. A.K. Dhiman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Amanjot Singh @ Aman	69	18.07.2024	118(1), 115(2), 126(1), 351(3), 324(4), 191(3) and 190 of BNS (Sections 118(2) and 238 of BNS were added later on	Banur	Patiala

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that (i) Main role of



- 2-

causing injury has been attributed to accused Rahul and Dilbar Khan; (ii) Petitioner is alleged to be accompanying the main accused, while they were travelling in the tractor (iii) Name of the petitioner was not even there in the FIR and subsequently his name was introduced with the allegation of holding an iron road, but said iron road is not shown to be recovered from him, as per the final report submitted by the agency. (iv) Further argues that no specific role has been attributed of causing any injury to any specific person with the iron road, which allegedly he was holding in his hand. (v) All the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate and petitioner is there inside the jail, since 25.09.2024. (vi) Even the trial is progressing at a slow pace, as despite the petitioner having been incarcerated for about 08 months and the submission of the final report, not a single witness out of the total 20 has been examined, thus, prays for grant of regular bail.

3. On the other hand, all the factual facts including the stage of trial are not disputed by learned State counsel, however, he submits that petitioner is involved in a serious offence, as injuries attributed to the injured persons are with a sharpened edged weapon and have thus caused the offence of rioting also, as there were total 08 accused in the case.

4. Taking note of the submission and facts as pointed out by the petitioner, there is no substantial reason in declining the concession of bail which is claimed by the petitioner. Even the petitioner is not alleged to be involved in any other criminal case, therefore, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**.



- 3-

Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

5. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

6. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

7. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

08.05.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No