



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11542-2025

Date of Decision:17.03.2025

Parwinder Singh

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present: Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to transfer the investigation of a case arising out of FIR No.81, dated 29.12.2024, under Sections 109,126(2),115(2),110,351(2), 324(4), 191(3),190 of B.N.S, Police Station Dharamgarh, District Sangrur to some senior police officer or some other independent Investigating Agency.

2. On advance notice, Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab has appeared on behalf of the official respondents and a reply has been filed by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Dirba and the same is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Mewa Singh submits that in the present case, nine accused namely Jaskaran Singh@ Karan, Gurwinder Singh, Manjit Singh @ Mitla, Lakhwinder Singh @ Lakha, Yudhvir

Singh @ Yodha, Sukhwinder Singh @Indri, Gurpayar Singh @ Raman, Manpreet Singh @ Pita and Jaspreet Singh @ Jassa Dhillon have been arrested by the police and the recovery of incriminating evidence has been collected from them. He has further informed the Court that the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C is almost complete and shall be presented before the Court, at the earliest. He has assured the Court that the investigation shall be concluded expeditiously, in accordance with law. Learned State counsel further submits that the averments made in the Para No.6 of the petition shall be examined by the S.S.P Sangrur and in case, any cognizable offence is made out, the necessary consequences will follow.

4. The S.S.P Sangrur may also associate the present petitioner, while examining the averments made in the Para No.6 of the petition.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press the present petition, at this stage.

6. Dismissed as not pressed, at this stage.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

17.03.2025
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No