

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:045503-DB



125

**CWP-6203-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.04.2025.**

Manish Gupta and others
Versus
...Petitioners.

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

Present: Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate
for the respondent-State.

SUDHIR SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petition herein is for issuance of a Writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the layout-cum-demarcation plan of Sector 25 for 4 marlas notified by the respondents vide memo No.CTP/ ATP(1) PT/ 149732 dated 06.07.2023 (Annexure P4); a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to provide the petitioner 12 meter wide road as committed in earlier layout plan; further prayer for staying the operation and implementation of aforesaid layout-cum-demarcation plan of Sector 25 for 4 marlas and further prayer for issuing direction to the respondents to take appropriate action on the representation filed by the petitioners dated 16.07.2023 (Annexure P6).

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners would be satisfied, in case, the pending representation

(Annexure P-6) is decided by respondent No.8, which has not been adverted to by the respondents, till date.

3. On the other hand, on advance service of copy of petition, learned State counsel appears and opposes issuance of notice of motion. He submits that grievance of the petitioners will be looked into by respondent No.8 and it shall take decision either way, on pending representation (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners.

4. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek reply by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

5. Without commenting on merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.8 to consider pending representation (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners and pass an appropriate reasoned order, in accordance with law, at the earliest, preferably within a period of 06 weeks from the date of receipt of copy/ production of this order.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUDHIR SINGH)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

03.04.2025

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No