

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC.017552



CWP-7939-1999(O&amp;M)

Date of Decision: 06.02.2025

ASHOK KUMAR

....Petitioner

VS.

HARYANA TOURISM CORPORATION CHANDIGARH

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. N.K.Nagar, Advocate  
for the petitioner

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Advocate  
for the respondent

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to regularise him.
2. The petitioner claims that he is working since 1993 and is eligible for regularisation as per policy of 1996.
3. The respondent is claiming that petitioner had not worked with it after 1997 at least directly, however, may be through contractor.
4. This Court vide judgment dated 22.01.2025 passed in **CWP No. 10071 of 2022**, titled as **“Sanjeev Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others”** has held that employees are not entitled to benefit of Policy of 1996, however, they are entitled to regularisation as per Policy of 2003 as well as 2011.



5.           The petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent to consider claim of the petitioner as per Policy of 2003 as well as 2011. It is made clear that if case of the petitioner does not fall within the Policy of 2003 and 2011, he would not be entitled to regularisation.
6.           Let the needful be done within six months from today.
7.           Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)  
JUDGE

06.02.2025  
paramjit

|                            |     |    |
|----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes |    |
| Whether reportable:        |     | No |