



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11113-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:27.02.2025

Aditya

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Ms. Aarti Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayuwan Singh, AAG, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, for grant of interim regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.0222 dated 18.11.2024 under Section 6 of POCSO Act and Sections 61, 64(1), 65(1), 70(1) and 87 of BNS Act, 2023 registered at Police Station Panipat Sadar, District Panipat, Haryana so as to enable the petitioner to take 12th class examinations conducted by the Board of School Education, Haryana, Bhiwani.

2. On the last date of hearing, notice was issued, and learned State counsel had accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State and sought time to seek instructions. Today learned State counsel has referred to the order passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Panipat, vide Annexure P-2 and submitted that he has also verified the fact that the petitioner is not entitled to appear in the examinations because his name has been struck off from roll of the school due to his long absence. He

submitted that even if interim bail is granted to the petitioner, no useful purpose would be served, as he will not be able to appear in the examination due to his ineligibility. He also submitted that, insofar as the list (Annexure P-3) attached with the present petition is concerned, it is not a roll number list but only a list prepared by the Board of School Education pertaining to various candidates. It does not entitle the petitioner to take the examinations, and he submitted that the present petition for the grant of interim regular bail is a misconceived petition.

3. After hearing learned counsels for the parties, this Court is of the considered view that no useful purpose would be served if the present petitioner is released on interim regular bail, as learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner is not even entitled to take the examinations as his name has been struck off from the roll of the school due to his long absence.

4. Consequently, finding no merit in the present case and the same is hereby dismissed.

5. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and is meant for the purpose of deciding the present petition only.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

27.02.2025

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No