

CRM-M-11109-2025

-1-

2025.PHHC.044016



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

227

CRM-M-11109-2025

Date of Decision: 01.04.2025

DALBIR SINGH

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. Prikshit Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.0085, dated 05.10.2024, under Sections 406, 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Ghanaur, District Patiala (Annexure P-1).

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 27.02.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and the same have been reproduced below:-



“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a poor person and is running a small photostat shop and had never acted as a travel agent. Learned counsel next submits that it has been falsely alleged that the money was paid to the petitioner and co-accused for sending Rajat Kumar abroad and he was never sent there. In fact, Rajat Kumar is presently residing abroad and the entire story has been concocted by the complainant. Moreover, the complainant was dealing with Harmandeep Singh, co-accused and the petitioner had no role to play in the crime. Even no money was ever paid to the petitioner in the present case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation. He has also filed reply by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Circule Ghanaur, District Patiala on behalf of the respondent-State and the same is taken on record.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 27.02.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when



called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

01.04.2025.
vipin

(N. S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No