



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRWP-1986-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.02.2025

SUMAN RANI AND ANOTHERPetitioners
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 13 and take action on their representation dated 22.02.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that they are major. They are in love. However, marriage of petitioner no.1 and respondent no.4 was solemnized on 17.03.2019 and out of this wedlock one male child was born who is in custody of respondent no.4. In the month of December, 2023, the respondent no.4 had mercilessly beaten the petitioner no.1 in drunkard condition on account of demanding Rs.2 lakh and respondent no.4 had thrown out the petitioner no.1 alone from her matrimonial house. It is also pertinent to mention here that a divorce petition as filed by petitioner no.1 against her husband is pending. Petitioner no.2 is not married yet. The petitioners know each other for last one year. They are good friends and have good understanding with each other. They are living together for last few months. However, when private respondents came to know about their relationship, they started threatening to the petitioners.



Apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, they sent representation dated 22.02.2025 to the respondent No.2 but the same has not been taken into consideration and hence, the present petition.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage. The petitioners’ counsel is directed to supply the copy of the petition to her.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel without going into the question of validity of their relationship and without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the veracity of the averments made in the petition and also with regard to the sanctity of relationship or age of the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga to look into the matter and dispose of the representation dated 22.02.2025 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law and also to take necessary step, if any, genuine threat to life and liberty of the petitioners is found.
6. A copy of this order along with copy of representation dated 22.02.2025 (Annexure P-3) be sent to respondent No.2, so as to enable her to do the needful expeditiously.
7. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

27.02.2025
Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No
2. Whether reportable

Yes/No