

232

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11329-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 26.03.2025

JASVIR SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Akash Mehta, Advocate for
Mr. Simranjit Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS,
petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
41	17.10.2024	108 and 3(5) of BNS, 2023	GRP, Sirhind

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 11.03.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the petitioner may be confirmed.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from SI Rattan Lal, has intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for



further investigation.

4. Heard.

5. During the course of hearing on 11.03.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Status report dated 10.03.2025 in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division GRP Patiala, District Patiala, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Heard.

3. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further contends that the petitioner has no concern whatsoever with the demise of the deceased. There is no suicide note left by the deceased but instant FIR has been got registered against the petitioner on the bald statement of the brother of the deceased which is motivated on account of political rivalry in the village. He submits that similarly situated co-accused Balvinder Singh has already been granted concession of anticipatory bail and the case of the petitioner is at par with him.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Ratan Lal, Investigating Officer of the case, intimates that the case of the petitioner is at par with that of co-accused Balwinder Singh (supra) and that except for the statement of the complainant, there is nothing substantive against the petitioner as of now.

5. Be it the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Investigating Officer of the case to remain present along with record on the next date of hearing.

7. List on 26.03.2025.”

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 11.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail



granted vide order dated 11.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

26.03.2025

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No