



**102+212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-18998-2025 in/and
CRM-M-11523-2025
Date of decision: 08.05.2025**

RAVINDER KAUR

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Gaurav Vir Singh Behl, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate for the respondent.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

CRM-18998-2025

This application has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023
for placing on record the copy of reply on behalf of the complainant.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed.

Copy of reply on behalf of the complainant is taken on record.

Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

MAIN CASE

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.103 dated 16.08.2024 under Sections 318(2)/318(4)/61(2) of BNS registered at Police Station City Patti District Tarn Taran (Annexure P3).

2. On 08.04.2025, following order was passed:

"The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.103 dated 16.08.2024 under Sections



CRM-18998-2025 in/and
CRM-M-11523-2025

2

318(2)/318(4)/61(2) of BNS registered at Police Station City Patti District Tarn Taran (Annexure P3).

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that on the same set of allegations, earlier FIR No.131 dated 13.08.2019 was registered in which cancellation report has been prepared by the Investigating Agency and thereafter, FIR (supra) was registered. Moreover, the maximum sentence under which the FIR was lodged is punishable upto 07 years. He further submits that similarly situated co-accused, namely, Charanjit Singh and Ranjit Singh Bains @ Ranjit Singh, have been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 17.02.2025 passed in CRM-M-8924-2025 titled as 'Charanjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab' (Annexure P-5) and order dated 24.02.2025 passed in CRM-M-10398-2025 titled as 'Ranjit Singh Bains @ Ranjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab' (Annexure P-6).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meantime, keeping in view the law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022) 10 SCC 51; Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and others 2010 SCC OnLine SC 137; Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia etc. Vs. State of Punjab (1980) 2 SCC 565, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273 and Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State of NCT Delhi 2020 (1) RCR (Criminal) 833, at the first instance, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer within two weeks from today and on his doing so or in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bond to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall cooperate with the Investigating/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2)



CRM-18998-2025 in/and
CRM-M-11523-2025

3

of BNSS (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

If the Investigating/Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, the petitioner would appear before the Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Investigating/Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioner in investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Adjourned to 08.05.2025.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and the trial Court shall proceed without being prejudiced by observations of this Court.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Dilbagh Singh, submits that in compliance of order dated 08.04.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel, the order dated 08.04.2025, is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023 (*Erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*)

5. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

6. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be an expression of opinion by this Court lest it may prejudice the trial. The learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the trial on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

May 08, 2025
manisha

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned
- (ii) Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No