



245

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-11345-2025
Date of Decision:05.03.2025**

AKASHDEEP SINGH

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepinder Singh Brar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.187 dated 14.10.2024, registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 3(5), 117(1), 118(2) of BNS, Police Station Beas, District Amritsar (Rural).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR was initially registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 3(5) of BNS and after a period of two weeks, the offences under Sections 117(1), 118(2) of BNS have been added, just to make the offence graver, without any evidence. He further contends that as per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner was allegedly armed with a *Kirpan* and had inflicted injuries on the left bicep and the left arm of the complainant. He further contends that as per the medico-legal



report, the complainant had received 3 injuries and the weapon used for causing injury No.1 was stated to be blunt, whereas injuries No.2 and 3 were caused with a sharp edged weapon. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 08.11.2024 and is continuing in custody for the last more than four months. After completion of investigation, challan has already been presented against the petitioner. Moreover, Harbans Singh and Arshdeep Singh, co-accused have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 09.01.2025 and 23.01.2025 respectively. He further contends that no witness has been examined so far and the trial Court may take considerable time to conclude the trial.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been leveled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner is stated to be in custody for almost four months and challan has already been presented against him. Moreover, there is no material to indicate that the petitioner is in a position to influence the witnesses of the prosecution or may abscond from the process of law. Moreover, the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate and the trial is not likely to conclude in near future.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his



furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

05.03.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No