



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11084-2025
Date of decision: 27.02.2025

Lakhvir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Akhil Kashyap, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal case having FIR No.0024 dated 15.05.2024 registered under Sections 323, 324, 34 IPC and Section 326 added later on, registered at Police Station Samlsar, District Moga.
2. The allegations in brief are that complainant Hardeep Singh reported to the police that on 06.05.2024 at about 8 PM, petitioner and other accused persons caused injuries to him and at that time, petitioner was armed with gandasi with which he caused injuries on the head, right arm and right leg of the complainant.
3. The counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner *inter alia* submits that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case at the instance of the complainant. It is further submitted that actually, the case involves version as well as cross version and that the aggressor party will be ascertained during trial and further the alleged injuries caused by the



petitioner are on the non vital part of the body and that the petitioner who is having no criminal history is ready and willing to join investigation with the police.

4. The present petition is resisted by the State counsel who submits that specific injuries caused with gandasi are attributed to the present petitioner and as per medical record, two of the said injuries caused with sharp edged weapon are found to be grievous in nature and thus, are covered under Section 326 IPC. It is further submitted that petitioner is required by the police for the purpose of proper investigation.

5. The counsel for the petitioner failed to produce copy of the concerned FIR/DDR which was registered in the cross version case, as is alleged by him.

6. In the instant case, two grievous injuries caused with sharp edged weapon are attributed to the present petitioner, as is clear from the medical record produced by the State counsel. One of the said injuries is on the back side of the head of complainant and thus, was caused on the vital part of the body. The recovery of the weapon used by the petitioner in causing the aforesaid injuries is still to be effected. Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary.

7. In light of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is dismissed.

27.02.2025

Yogesh

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-

Yes/No
Yes/No