



321 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7974-2002 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.11.2025

Amar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate and
Ms. Sakshi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Puru Jarewal, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 13.10.2025, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking directions to respondent to pay a sum of Rs.50,000/- as ex gratia.

The petitioner in February’ 1996 while serving as Sub Inspector with Punjab Police suffered paralysis attack. In December’ 1996, he was declared 100% disabled. On account of said disability, he was retired on 30.06.1997. State Government in July’ 1998 implemented recommendation of 4th Punjab Pay Commission with respect to grant of Rs.50,000/- as ex gratia to permanently disabled employees. The respondent has disputed petitioner’s entitlement to aforesaid grant. The respondent has also raised question of limitation.

Adjourned to 28.10.2025.”

2. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that

petitioner may be directed to approach jurisdictional Senior

Superintendent of Police (SSP) who would look into the matter and decide petitioner’s claim in accordance with law. If the petitioner is found eligible, claimed benefit would be released.

3. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands *disposed of*.

4. The petitioner in person or through representative is at liberty to approach SSP who would look into the matter and address the same within three months from the date of filing representation.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

10.11.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No