



CWP-6725-2022

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(234)

CWP-6725-2022

Date of Decision : 03.04.2025

Amandeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Ms. Anmol, Advocate and
Mr. Lubin Chhura, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a mandamus upon the respondent No.1, to conduct an enquiry into the acts of interference in investigation by the respondent No.3, and also for issuance of a mandamus for taking action against the derelict officers, for the acts and omission pertaining to the investigation, in case FIR No.159 dated 10.10.2020 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 354, 354-A, 506 and 509 of the IPC, 1860 (Sections 376, 511 and 342 of the IPC, added later on), and Section 67-A of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Kulgari, District Ferozepur, which was lodged at the behest of the petitioner.



2. The petitioner is a victim, and complainant of the FIR (supra), who has to approach this Court, on account of the high-handedness of the investigating agency concerned, as despite the fact that respondent No.6-accused, was declared as proclaimed offender, he was not arrested, and on account of this, the petitioner, having apprehension to the life and liberty, has to file another Criminal Writ Petition No.12219 of 2021, before this Court.

3. It is informed that when this Court interfered in the matter, only thereafter, the accused/respondent No.6, was arrested. She further submits that all endeavours were made to extend all possible help to the respondent No.6, by filing a cancellation report.

4. Today, short reply dated 02.04.2025, by way of affidavit of Mr. Karan Sharma, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (Rural), District Ferozepur, on behalf of the respondents No.1 and 2, has been filed in the Court, by the learned State counsel. The same is taken on the record. Copy whereof, has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. The reply (supra), speaks about that earlier a cancellation report was filed, but the same was not accepted by the learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned, and thereupon, the matter was further investigated, and now, finally, the chargesheet against the respondent No.6, will be filed before the learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned, and the accused/respondent No.6, has already been arrested in the FIR (supra).

6. Further, learned counsel for the State, on instruction imparted to him by ASI Jagjit Singh, informs this Court, that in fact, the chargesheet against the respondent No.6, has now already been filed before the learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned.



CWP-6725-2022

3

7. In view of the supervening event, that the respondent No.6, has already been arrested, and the chargesheet against him, has already been filed before the learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned, therefore, at this stage, this Court, is of the considered view that no further direction is required to be passed in the instant petition, and the same is hereby **closed**.

8. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

9. However, in case, the petitioner still has any grievance, she is at liberty to approach the learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned, or the learned trial Court concerned, at apt stage of the trial, for redressal of her grievance, if any.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

April 03, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No