

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh**

[153]

CWP-5446-2025**Date of Decision: 27.02.2025**

RAJ KARAN PANGHAL, ADVOCATE

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

BAR COUNCIL OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate and
Mr. Rishab Gupta, Advocate and
Ms. Seema Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Abhinav Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.5.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petitioner becomes aggrieved from the list of candidates (Annexure P-3), whereby, co-respondent No.5 has been permitted to contest the election for the post of General Secretary of District Bar Association, Rohtak.

2. The requisite qualifications appertaining for the said post, become extracted hereinafter:-

“Secretary:- Any member who is having at least five years of regular and active practice from the date of enrolment with the Bar Council”

3. A perusal of the above reveals, that the present petitioner was required to be having at least five years of regular and active practice from the date of enrolment with the Bar Council.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the said required qualification is not possessed by the present petitioner.



5. Be that as it may, since the learned counsel for respondent No.5 submits that a communication becomes made to the present petitioner by the Returning Officer, Bar Association, Rohtak, whereby the issue which is encompassed in the instant writ petition rather has been decided against the present petitioner .

6. Resultantly, as further stated, by the learned counsel for respondent no.1, since an appeal thereagainst is maintainable before the Bar Council concerned. Furthermore, when the learned counsel for respondent no.1, also states that if the said appeal is instituted during the course of the day, thereupon, the said appeal shall also be decided during the course of the day.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. The instant petition stands disposed of accordingly, along with all pending application(s), if any.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

27.02.2025

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No