

2025:PHHC:040574



144 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-1375-2024 (O&M)
Decided on:-25.03.2025

Ram Lal (since deceased) thr. LRs.

...Petitioner..

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Arpit Gaur, Advocate for
Mr. Gursimar Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate and
Ms. Saloni Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued in favour of the legal heirs of the petitioner and arrears of pension as well as gratuity has also been released in their favour.
2. In view thereof, learned counsel for the petitioner does not intend to press the present petition.
3. Dismissed as not pressed. Rule stands discharged.
4. However, in case any claim survives, the legal heirs of the petitioner would be at liberty to raise their grievance by filing representation before the quarter concerned within a period of two weeks from today,

which shall be dealt with, as per law, within a period of four weeks thereafter from the date of its receipt by passing a speaking order thereupon, which shall be conveyed to legal heirs of the petitioner within a period of two weeks thereafter and in case of any delay on the part of respondent(s), the officer(s) concerned would be liable to pay costs of Rs. 50,000/- to the legal heirs of the petitioner from his / her own pocket.

5. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

25.03.2025

(HARKESH MANUJA)

sonika

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/ No