



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1941-2025

Date of decision : 25.02.2025

Kajal Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Rampal Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 8 and further issue directions to respondents No.1 to 3 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners at the instance of respondents No.4 to 8 and other relatives of petitioner No.1 and not to intrude into the life of petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 was earlier married but her marriage has been dissolved with her earlier husband by a decree of divorce. He submits that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 8. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 23.02.2025 (Annexure P-5) respondent No.2 i.e. before Superintendent of Police, Kaithal.



3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, Haryana to decide the representation dated 23.02.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

25.02.2025

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No