



CRM-M-11501-2025

-1-

**233 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11501-2025
Decided on : 06.03.2025

Prasant Dixit

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Singh Ahluwalia, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J.

1. Prayer in the present thrid petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.288, dated 21.09.2024, registered under Sections 22/29/61/85 of NDPS Act, 1985, at Police Station City Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

2. Succinctly facts of the case are that on 21.09.2024, the Police received a secret information to the effect that Ajay Walia, who is having a shop of readymade garments, is involved in selling intoxicating tablets in large quantity and in case of raid, the contraband could be recovered. On receiving the secret information, raiding team was constituted and the said shop was raided and a person standing in front of the same was apprehended. On asking, he disclosed his name as Ajay Walia. On suspicion, shop was searched. On conducting the search, 2400 capsules of Proxyco-Spas, 5000 tablets of Tramadol, 12000 tablets of Alprazolam and Rs.5 lacs allegedly as drug money were recovered. He failed to produce any licence regarding the possession of the same and thus, was arrested on the



CRM-M-11501-2025

-2-

spot. Samples taken were sent to the FSL. On registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. During the investigation, disclosure statement of Ajay Walia was recorded on 23.09.2024 and on the basis of the same, DDR No.25 dated 11.10.2024 was recorded, where petitioner was named as an accused in the present case. Hence, he was arrayed as an accused and was arrested on 11.10.2024. On completion of the investigation, challan was presented and on framing the charges, the trial commenced.

3. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Judge, Special Court, Hoshiarpur, praying for grant of regular bail. However, learned Court after hearing both the sides, finding no merit in the petition filed by the petitioner, dismissed the same vide order dated 07.11.2024. Earlier the petitioner approached this Court praying for grant of bail twice, however, on 21.11.2024, after hearing learned counsel for the petitioner at length, the same was allowed to be dismissed as withdrawn and on 17.02.2025, it was allowed to be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh one with better particulars. Now the present third petition has been filed by the petitioner with the same prayer just after about 3 ½ months of the filing of first petition.

4. This Court does not find any change in circumstances which would entitle the petitioner for grant of regular bail. Hence, the present petition is dismissed at this stage.

06.03.2025
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No