

2025:PHHC:099052



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

339

CWP-13193-2002 (O & M)
Date of decision: 04.08.2025

Gurnam Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made is for quashing the order dated 14.02.2002.
2. Learned counsel submits that claim of the petitioner has been rejected, vide impugned order, without applying the mind, inasmuch as reference is made to the orders of punishment, which even though had been set aside by orders dated 12.11.1999, 16.10.1998 and 22.12.1993, Annexures P-12 to P-14, respectively and that even if recovery was to be made, it would not be a punishment. He prays for re-consideration of the matter taking into consideration the aforesaid submissions in a time bound manner.
3. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the authority which passed the impugned order would not be averse to having

a relook at the matter and decide afresh, taking note of the afore-submissions, within a period of 6 months, uninfluenced by the order(s) impugned in the present case, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

4. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon he shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

04.08.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No