



229

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11198-2025**Date of Decision: 10.03.2025**

Subhash

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.313 dated 14.10.2024 registered under Sections 191(3), 190, 115(2), 118(1), 110, 351(2), 126(2) of BNS, at Police Station Sadar Dadri, District Charkhi Dadri.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case due to party fraction in the village. He further contends that the petitioner has been named in the FIR by the complainant without assigning him any specific role and he is simply stated to be present at the place of alleged occurrence. Even, during the course of investigation, it has been found that Suraj had suffered an injury on the head, however, all injuries suffered by Suraj have been declared to be simple in nature. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 16.10.2024 and the



charge has been ordered to be framed against him. However, no witness has been examined so far.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. However, he could not controvert the factual submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. It is not in dispute that all the injuries suffered by Suraj have been declared to be simple in nature. The petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last more than 4 months and the charge has been framed against him. However, no witness has been examined so far. Even though, one more case was registered against the petitioner, however, he is on bail in the said case.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

10.03.2025

hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No