245 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-A-801-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.09.2025

SUCHETA ..... Applicant

Versus

GARVIT INNOVATIVE PROMOTERS LIMITED & ORS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Suryakant Gautam, Advocate for the applicant.

****

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. The applicant has filed the present application seeking grant of leave to appeal against the judgment of acquittal passed in a complaint case instituted under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter referred to as, 'NI Act'), whereby the complaint filed by the applicant was dismissed and the accused was acquitted.

2. The limited question arising for consideration in the present proceedings is whether an appeal against an order of acquittal passed in a complaint case under Section 138 of the NI Act can be entertained under the proviso to Section 372 of the Cr.P.C. at the instance of the complainant?

3. The issue is no longer *res integra*. In ***'M/s Celestium Financial Vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc. 2025 INSC 804: 2025(3) RCR (Criminal) 208'***, the Hon'ble Supreme Court authoritatively held that a complainant in a prosecution under Section 138 of the NI Act, qualifies as a 'victim' under Section 2(wa) of the Cr.P.C., being the person who suffers financial loss due to the dishonour of a cheque. Consequently, such a complainant is entitled to pursue an appeal against acquittal under

ASGAR ALI
2025.09.17 18:31
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

the proviso to Section 372 of the Cr.P.C., without the requirement of seeking special leave under Section 378(4) of the Cr.P.C.

4. Adopting a pragmatic and justice-oriented approach and guided by the principle that procedural rule must serve and not obstruct the course of justice, this Court deems it appropriate to direct that the present appeal be treated as one authoritatively instituted under the proviso to Section 372 of the Cr.P.C.

5. In view of the authoritative pronouncement in ***M/s Celestium Financial's case (supra)***, the present application is disposed of with a direction that the learned Sessions Judge concerned shall treat the present appeal as an appeal filed under the proviso to Section 372 of the Cr.P.C. and assign the same to an appropriate Court for disposal on merits, in accordance with law.

6. The concerned Appellate Court shall proceed to decide the appeal expeditiously, without being impeded by any delay in filing the appeal, in view of the legal position now clarified by the Hon'ble Apex Court.

7. The Registry is directed to transmit this order along with copy of the complete paper book and return the trial Court record, if received, to the learned Sessions Judge.

8. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

17.09.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No

ASGAR ALI
2025.09.17 18:31
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document