



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

311

CRM-M-11336-2025

Date of decision: April 29th, 2025

Munish Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Charanji Lal, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 to 7.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.367 dated 05.10.2020 under Sections 471, 468, 467, 420 and 406 of the IPC registered at Police Station Karnal Civil Lines, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 28.02.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 28.03.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Karnal, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between

the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and the private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Karnal, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

April 29th, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No