



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11248-2025
Date of decision: 28.02.2025

Prince Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl. A.G. Haryana for State.

Mr. Chander Kant Rana, Advocate for complainant/respondent No.2.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by petitioner seeking quashing of order dated 29.07.2019 (Annexure P-2) whereby the petitioner was declared as proclaimed person by the Court of Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhari in a criminal complaint No.NIA/2459/22.12.2017 titled as Munish Goel Vs. Prince Verma, under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act and FIR No. 1206 dated 03.10.2019 (Annexure P-3) lodged under Section 174-A IPC at Police Station Jagadhari City, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. The counsel for the petitioner submits that after the passing of the impugned order (Annexure P-2), the petitioner effected compromise with complainant/respondent No.2 and on the basis of the said compromise, the aforesaid criminal complaint was withdrawn by complainant against the petitioner vide order dated 17.02.2025 (Annexure P-5). The counsel for the petitioner further submits that in view of the order (Annexure P-5), the impugned order (Annexure P-2) and FIR (Annexure P-3) are not sustainable and deserve to be set aside.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. C.K. Rana, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of



respondent No.2 and has admitted the factum of compromise and is having no objection if the present petition is allowed.

5. Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that the instant petition be disposed of in the light of compromise effected between petitioner and respondent No.2.

6. Admittedly, impugned order dated 29.07.2019 (Annexure P-2) whereby the petitioner has been declared as proclaimed person and registration of FIR (Annexure P-3) under Section 174-A IPC have arisen out of the aforesaid criminal complaint filed by respondent No.2 against the petitioner under Section 138 NI Act. Undoubtedly, now matter has been compromised and respondent No.2 has withdrawn aforesaid criminal complaint against the petitioner vide order dated 17.02.2025 (Annexure P-5). It being so, the impugned order dated 29.07.2019 (Annexure P-2) and FIR (Annexure P-3) registered under Section 174-A IPC are not sustainable in the eyes of law and continuation of Annexure P-2 and P-3 will amount to abuse of the process of Court and that of law.

6. In light of the above, the present petition is hereby allowed and impugned order dated 29.07.2019 (Annexure P-2) and impugned FIR No. 1206 dated 03.10.2019 (Annexure P-3) lodged under Section 174-A IPC at Police Station Jagadhari City, District Yamuna Nagar and all the subsequent proceeding arising thereof are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

28.02.2025

Yogesh

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No