



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115 CRWP-1923-2025
Decided on: 25.02.2025

Soniya and anotherPetitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Imran Farooqi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.
(appeared on advance notice)

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Soniya	Aman Kumar
Age (in years)	18 years and 9 months	28 years
Date of marriage	21.02.2025	
Rite	Hindu rites	
Representation dated	21.02.2025(P-4)	
Submitted to	Respondent No.2-The Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana.	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No. 4 to 13	



CRWP-1923-2025

-2-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 21.02.2025(Annexure P-4), to respondent No.2-The Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-The Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to look into the representation dated 21.02.2025 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

February 25, 2025

rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO