



237

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-838-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.11.2025

Bhupinder Singh Walia

...Petitioner

Versus

Manoj Kumar Parida and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Ghai, Advocate and
Ms. Sukhmani Patwalia, Advocate
for the respondents-UT Chandigarh.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents-UT Chandigarh has submitted that all possible efforts have been made to control noise pollution which also includes creation of portal for general public to make complaints with respect to noise pollution. It is further submitted that there is due compliance of the judgment dated 22.07.2019 passed by the Division Bench of this Court in CWP-6213-2016 and other connected matters. It is submitted that other similar contempt petitions including COCP-4774-2024 in which contempt of the same judgment was alleged has been dismissed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 01.09.2025 while imposing costs of Rs.10,000/-.



2. Today, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to state as to whether any cause still survives for keeping the present contempt petition alive.
3. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case any cause still survives after giving specific details.
4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

11.11.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No