



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

232

CRM-M-11165 of 2025
Date of decision: 24.07.2025

Jaskaran Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. D. S. Bhinder, Advocate, with
Ms. Navkiran Bajwa, Advocate,
Mr. Karan Pal Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Robin Bawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 in case FIR No.02 dated 03.01.2020, under Sections 21/29 of NDPS Act, 1985, registered at P.S. Bhikhiwind, District Tarn Taran.

2. The case of the prosecution is that 500 grams heroin and a pistol with 09 live cartridges were recovered from the dashboard of the car of the co-accused i.e. Jugraj Singh and Rattanbir Singh. However, upon their disclosure statement, name of the petitioner was surfaced. It is stated that petitioner, at that time of recovery, was already in custody in case FIR No.60 dated 26.04.2018, registered at P. S. Jhabhal/Bhikhiwind, Tarn Taran and therefore, it cannot be said that petitioner was present with the co-accused at the time of recovery of the contraband. Although, petitioner is involved in several other cases.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as apart from the disclosure



statement of co-accused, there is no evidence on record to connect the petitioner with the recovery the contraband. The petitioner has undergone a period of 8 months and 20 days as under trial and the trial is yet to commence, therefore, petitioner be released on regular bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for 08 months and 20 days. However, petitioner is involved in 11 other cases.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and considering the custody period undergone by the petitioner and the fact that trial is yet to commence; the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.



9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

24.07.2025

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No