



CWP-6929-1999

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

CWP-6929-1999

Date of Decision :10.03.2025

Krishan Chand and others**...Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition was filed by the petitioners in the year 1999 as a means of redressal against their grievance that the petitioners had been wrongly retired at the age of 58 years by the respondents whereas, they were entitled to continue in service till the age of 60 years keeping in view the rules governing the service at the time when they attained the age of superannuation.

2. At the time when the petitioners were retired from service, they were working on a Class-III post and at the relevant time, as per the rules governing the service, the retirement age of Class-III post was 58 years and the petitioners were retired on the said age only and further, no grievance

was raised by the petitioners at that time and rather they had accepted the



CWP-6929-1999

-2-

pensionary benefits without any objection.

3. As per the reply filed on behalf of the respondents, as per the decision in CWP-8186-1994 titled as Krishan Lal Aggarwal vs, State of Punjab, decided on 08.09.1994, an employee who is working on Class-III post, has to be retired on attaining the age of 58 years.
4. No one appears on behalf of the petitioners.
5. It can be safely presumed that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the present remedy.
6. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

March 10, 2025
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No