


2025:PHHC:034193-DB



CWP-6583-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.03.2025

Partap Singh and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

HDFC Bank Ltd. and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH**

Present: Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. After some arguments, learned counsel submits that the aspect relating to the petitioners having already paid further amount after the notice issued under Section 13(2) of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, has not been considered either by the DRT or DRAT and the DRAT has also not decided the issue regarding the NPA.
2. In view thereto, we allow the petitioners to move an appropriate review petition before the DRAT and it is expected that the matter shall be examined on merits.
3. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition is allowed to be withdrawn.
4. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.
5. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE**

**(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE**

11.03.2025
rajesh

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | : | Yes/No |