



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

260

**CRM M-11347 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.11.2024**

JASWANT SINGH

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vipas Dhoulra, Advocate for
Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for respondent No.5.


Mr. Karan Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate
for respondents No.6 to 9.

N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. with prayer to direct the official respondents to take action on the complaint No.5584-MISC dated 29.11.2018 submitted by the petitioner to respondent No.3 as well as complaint dated 31.01.2019 submitted to respondent No.2.

2. A further prayer has been made to direct the official respondents to take legal action against the private respondents, who

2024:PHHC:146865



had forcibly snatched the vehicle bearing No.HR-65A-0238 of the petitioner and further sold the vehicle by forging and fabricating the documents.

3. Pursuant to the notice, DSP, Kurukshetra had filed a reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and the same was taken on record. Learned State counsel submits that the complaint submitted by the petitioner was investigated and it was found to be a case of civil nature.

4. Consequently, no action was taken against any person. She further contends that the petitioner has filed a civil suit against the private respondents and the case was sub judice before the Civil Court.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further orders are required.

6. The petition is accordingly dismissed.

7. Needless to observe that, the petitioner shall at liberty to avail the alternative remedy, if any, in accordance with law.

11.11.2024
vipin

(N. S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No